

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address, COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.nspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	D. 1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/051,554		01/17/2002	Malcolm J. Andrews	017575.0565 (TAMUS 1694)	8663
5073	7590	02/04/2003			
	BOTTS L. S AVENUI		EXAMINER		
SUITE 60	0	_	ERDEM, FAZLI		
DALLAS, TX 75201-2980				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2826	
				DATE MAILED: 02/04/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
•	10/051,554	ANDREWS ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
·	Fazli Erdem	2826					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	<u></u>						
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a ply within the statutory minimum of the d will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mo te, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed  nirty (30) days will be considered timely.  DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18							
, <del></del>	his action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are pending in the application	nn						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9-12 and 18-21</u> is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement						
Application Papers	or oloowon roquitoment.						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the pricapplication from the International B</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a lise</li> </ul>	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)	h						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 2826

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Allowable Subject Matter

1. Claims 9-12 and 18-21 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-4, 8, 13, 15, 16, 22 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Quate (4,575,822) in view of Nishino et al. (5,729,046) further in view of Miyahara et al. (4,764,898).

Regarding Claims 1-4, 8, 13, 15, 16, 22 and 24, Quate discloses method and means for data storage using tunnel current data readout where a digital memory in which data is stored by establishing perturbations in a surface of a substrate and identifying the perturbations by establishing a tunnel electron current between the surface of the substrate and a movable probe. The perturbations can be physical, electrical, or magnetic such that the tunneling electron current is affected thereby. Quate fails to disclose the pinning structure in detection and the pinning

Art Unit: 2826

structures in detail. However, Nishino et al. disclose superconducting device having pinning regions where the pinning structure is shown in detail. Furthermore, Miyahara et al. disclose a vortex memory device where the detection means are shown in detail.

It would have been obvious to one of having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the required pinning and the detection structures in Quate as taught by Nishino et al. and Miyahara et al. respectively in order to have a superconductor storage device with higher performance.

3. Claims 5 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Quate (4,575,822) in view of Nishino et al. (5,729,046) further in view of Miyahara et al. (4,764,898) further in view of Li et al. (6,188,920).

Regarding Claims 5 and 17, Quate, Nishino et al., and Miyahara et al. combinations disclose all the claimed subject matter except superconducting material of type Bi-Sr-Ca-Cu-O. However, Li et al. disclose a high performance (Bi,Pb)2-Sr2-Ca2-Cu3-OY composites where the required material type is shown.

It would have been obvious to one of having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the required material type in Quate, Nishino et al., and Miyahara et al. combination as taught by Li et al. in order to have a superconducting storage device with higher performance.

Art Unit: 2826

4. Claims 6, 7, 14, and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Quate (4,575,822) in view of Nishino et al. (5,729,046) further in view of Miyahara et al. (4,764,898) further in view of Krusin-Elbaum et al. (5,912,21)

Regarding Claims 6,7,14, and 23, Quate, Nishino et al., and Miyahara et al. combinations disclose all the claimed subject matter except atom doping structure. However, Krusin-Elbaum et al. disclose enhancement of persistent currents in High TC superconductors where the atom doping structure is shown.

It would have been obvious to one of having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the required atom doping structure in Quate, Nishino et al., and Miyahara et al. combination as taught by Krusin-Elbaum et al. in order to have a superconducting storage device with higher performance.

5. Claim 25 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Quate (4,575,822) in view of Nishino et al. (5,729,046) further in view of Miyahara et al. (4,764,898) further in view of Gerber et al. (6,211,673).

Regarding Claim 25, Quate, Nishino et al., and Miyahara et al. combinations disclose all the claimed subject matter except the cantilever structure. However, Gerber et al. disclose an apparatus for use in magnetic-field detection and generation devices where the cantilever structure is shown.

It would have been obvious to one of having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the required cantilever structure in Quate, Nishino et al., and

Art Unit: 2826

Miyahara et al. combination as taught by Gerber et al. in order to have a superconducting storage device with higher performance.

# Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fazli Erdem whose telephone number is (703) 305-3868. The examiner can normally be reached on M - F 8:00 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan Flynn can be reached on (703) 308-6601. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

FE January 26, 2003

NATHAN J. FLYNN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

/